20JAN27 m 9 : 24 CITY OLERK

DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND PERMITTING

CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU

650 SOUTH KING STREET, 7TH FLOOR • HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813 PHONE: (808) 768-8000 • FAX: (808) 768-6041

DEPT. WEB SITE: www.honoluludpp.org • CITY WEB SITE: www.honoluludpp.org

KIRK CALDWELL MAYOR



KATHY K. SOKUGAWA ACTING DIRECTOR

TIMOTHY F. T. HIU DEPUTY DIRECTOR

EUGENE H. TAKAHASHI DEPUTY DIRECTOR

January 24, 2020

2019/SMA-36(AB)

The Honorable Ikaika M. Anderson Chair and Presiding Officer and Members Honolulu City Council 530 South King Street, Room 202 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Dear Chair Anderson and Councilmembers:

SUBJECT: Public Hearing Transcript

Supplemental Transmittal for Departmental Communication

D-0033(20) - Special Management Area (SMA) Use Permit Application

File No. 2019/SMA-36

Ala Moana Regional Park and Magic Island Improvements

Please see the attached transcript from the public hearing held on December 18, 2019, at the McCoy Pavilion in Ala Moana Park, which was inadvertently omitted from the SMA Use Permit report and recommendation for Ala Moana Regional Park and Magic Island Improvements Project, transmitted as Departmental Communication D-0033(20). We apologize for the oversight.

Should you have any questions, please contact me at 768-8000.

Very truly yours,

Kathy K. Sokugawa

Acting Director

Attachment

APPROVED BY:

Roy K. Amemiya, Jr.

Managing Director

DEPT. COM. 58

DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND PERMITTING
CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU
STATE OF HAWAII
IN THE MATTER OF THE)
APPLICATION OF ALA MOANA) FILE NO. 2019/SMA-36 (AB)
REGIONAL PARK AND MAGIC ISLAND
MDANICOLDE OF DECCEPTING
TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
The above-entitled matter came on for hearing at
the Ala Moana Regional Park, McCoy Pavilion Auditorium, 1201
Ala Moana Boulevard, Honolulu, Hawaii, on Wednesday,
December 18, 2019, commencing at 1:30 p.m., pursuant to
Notice.
V
BEFORE: ELIZABETH KRUEGER, Hearings Officer
ALEX BEATTY, Staff Planner

, t

PROCEEDINGS

HEARINGS OFFICER KRUEGER: Good morning everyone.

My name is Elizabeth Krueger, and I'm the hearings officer for today's matter. It's 10:30 a.m., and we're at the Ala Moana Regional Park, McCoy Pavilion Auditorium. Today we're conducting a public hearing in accordance with Revised Ordinances of Honolulu, Chapter 25, related to the Special Management Area as well as Hawaii Revised Statutes, Chapter 205A. The purpose of today's public hearing is to hear testimony on an application for a Special Management Use Permit, File No. 2019/SMA-36.

Pursuant to Chapter 25 of the Revised Ordinances of Honolulu, all development within the SMA is subject to reasonable terms and conditions to ensure, 1) adequate access is provided to publicly owned or used beaches, recreation areas and natural reserves; 2) provisions are made for solid and liquid waste treatment disposition and management, and 3) alterations to land forms and vegetations and construction of structures minimize adverse affects to Special Management Area resources and cause minimal potential danger to relevant natural disasters.

No development in the Special Management Area may be approved unless it is found that it will not have a substantial adverse environmental or ecological effect.

Development must be consistent with the coastal zoned management objectives, the general plan, development plans and zoning.

Lastly, the City Council must seek to minimize where reasonable the alteration of any natural shoreline, any development which would reduce the size of any beach or public recreation area, any development which would reduce or risk public access to shoreline resources, any development which would substantially interfere with the line of site toward the sea from the state highway, and any development which would adversely affect water quality, fishing grounds, wildlife habitats or agricultural uses.

No decision is being made here today. The purpose of this public hearing is to take testimony from the public so that you can have input into the Director's report and recommendation as well as City Council's decision-making when they receive the report.

You wull have the opportunity to continue to provide testimony when the application goes to the City Council for actual decision-making. Once we close the public hearing, the Department will have 20 working days to transmit its report and recommendation to the City Council. All testimony received today is going to be part of the record. It will be reflected in our report and attached to our report and recommendation as well as a full written

transcript so that the City Council can consider what was heard today. You are encouraged to contact the City Clerk if you'd like to attend the hearings held by the City Council.

This is the procedure for today's public hearing. Alex Beatty, the staff planner will present the basic facts behind the request, then the Applicant will present the project in greater detail. After that we will take public testimony. Each person will have one opportunity to speak. At the end of the testimony period, DPP staff, Department of Planning and Permitting staff will have an opportunity to ask questions about the proposal and the Applicant will have an opportunity to address any comments or concerns heard today.

There will not be a discussion period or question and answer period, however, as the public testimony portion of the hearing will have concluded. Now Alex will present the facts of the project. And let me just say, anybody who just came in and would like to testify verbally, I ask that you fill out one of these pink sheets.

STAFF PLANNER BEATTY: Thank you Liz. The Applicant is seeking a Special Management Area Use Permit to make repairs and improvements at the Ala Moana Regional Park and Magic Island, in accordance with the 10-year master plan disclosed in the Final Environmental Impact Statement.

Specifically, the FEIS and SMA Application identify 17 developments that include the following: 1) add a dog park; 2) pond edge improvements; 3) Piikoi and Queen Street entrance improvements; 4) Keyhole parking lot expansion; 5&6) Parking re-arrangement along Ala Moana Boulevard on the mauka and makai side of Ala Moana Park Drive; 7) Magic Island parking lot reconfiguration; 8) Repairs Roosevelt Portals; 9) Kamekee Street entrance improvements; 10) Canoe launch ramp and crossing improvements; 11) Renovations to McCoy Pavilion and Banyan Courtyard; 12) Improvements to the "high spot" terrace and path; 13) Sand replenishment and beach nourishment; 14) Construction of a playground; 15) Maintenance yard playground; 16) New multi-use facility lawn bowling and lawn bowling improvements; and 17) Ocean Safety's Honolulu Headquarters relocation.

Some of the proposed improvements are within the shoreline setback area and may require a shoreline setback variance in the future. The beach nourishment project partially takes place makai of the shoreline, and may also require state or federal approvals. Other approvals required included grading, grubbing, building, and construction permits, including a review of compliance with stormwater and drainage runoff regulations.

The project site is about 119 acres in area, consisting of the Ala Moana Regional Park, which is parallel

to the Pacific Ocean between Kewalo Basin and Ala Wai Boat

Harbor, and Magic Island, which consists of a peninsula and

manmade lagoon next to the Ala Wai Boat Harbor.

Collectively these areas are known as Ala Moana Park. The Park is accessed by a number of pedestrian entrances along Ala Moana Boulevard. The park is also accessed by the Ala Moana Park Drive, which is a two-way road through the park providing access to nearly 1,000 parking spaces within the Park.

The site is in the P-2 General Preservation

District, is entirely in the SMA, and is a shoreline site.

The generally flat site is bounded by the Pacific Ocean to the south, the Ala Wai Harbor to the east, Kewalo Basin to the west, and Ala Moana Boulevard to the north. Much of the site is in a flood hazard area. Other than the two harbors, nearby uses include a large shopping center, hotels, multi-family dwellings, and a variety of commercial establishments.

If this SMA permit is approved, the Applicant may then apply for the necessary permits for any development outside of the shoreline setback area. Projects within the shoreline setback area may require a variance, or minor shoreline structure permits. Any activities makai of the shoreline require approval from the State or Federal government agencies.

1 That concludes my presentation. Thank you.

HEARINGS OFFICER KRUEGER: Thank you, Alex. Again, where people are trickling in, if you'd like to provide verbal testimony please fill out the pink sheet. And at this time would the Applicant like to add or present more details to the project? And please state your name for the record.

MS. HIRAMATSU: My name is Joanne Hiramatsu, and I'm the director of planning. And we have actually been the consultant to the City and County of Honolulu, Design and Construction department. And this project—Actually I'll give a short background on how this whole thing started.

We got started in 2015 with the master plan.

The master plan took approximately two years to be completed and during that time we had a lot of outreach that was done that included federal agencies, the one that did the most outreach. And they are also prepared a website. We did walking tours at different times of the day, mornings, noon and afternoon. The first two years we did a lot of outreach. And after the master plan finally completed, the types of improvements that were recommended were either outreach. There were a lot of things that came up including time to privatize the park; wide range of projects that came up during that time period. So after the master plan was done, we started water quality sampling, traffic studies,

parking studies. One of the things that we forgot to do failed to do, instead of doing a culture impact analysis, we actually did a cultural assessment plan. That's why there was the first gap of the EIS in there. So once all the studies were done, we published the EISPN and DEIS, which was in July 2018. Then the first draft came out—I'm sorry, the list came out in December 2017. Then the first draft came out in July 2018, and then the second draft came out February 2019. So, earlier this year.

HEARINGS OFFICER KRUEGER: I'm sorry, Joanne.

Could you pull the microphone a little bit closer. We're having difficulty hearing.

MS. HIRAMATSU: And then we submitted the SMA application in October of this year. If you're interested in receiving the copy of the EIS, it's on the OEQC website. It's available at OEQC.DOH.Hawaii.gov. That's all I have. Thank you.

HEARINGS OFFICER KRUEGER: Thank you. We will now begin the public hearing testimony portion of the public hearing. Each testifier will have one opportunity to speak. And then for anyone who would like to provide verbal testimony, please fill out a pink sheet. We currently have 11 speakers signed up to testify, so I ask to limit their testimony to about three minutes. And so the first registered speaker is Helene Phillips followed by Lawrence

Miike. Please come up here and use this microphone and when you start please state your name for the record.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HEARINGS OFFICER KRUEGER; The question is whether you should speak to me or to the audience? I would say your real audience is the Director and the City Council.

So, say what you like them to hear.

MS. PHILLIPS: Okay. My name is Helene Phillips. I'm a retired lifeguard of Ala Moana Beach Park. I was lifeguard for 33 years. I have a serious concern of the dog park and that putting dog park close to the ocean. serious concern about the sand, where we get the sand. If we get it offshore here, there's serious contamination concerns. But my major concern is keeping the lifeguard stand. I feel that putting perpendicular parking on the mauka side of Ala Moana Park groove is inviting accidents. I realize anytime you have cars and people, they're going to be hazards. But I believe those hazards having people back in, making left turns, right turns. I guess you read the answer that Mr. Kroning gave, and he said, I don't know how wide they're planning on making from centerline to where the cars are perpendicular. But he thinks there's going to be cars backing out onto traffic. It's going to have to be a pretty wide area for a car to be able to pull out that's not blocking. So the things I've seen over the years, it seems like they want people to use crosswalks and that what's been

happening. They're going to unload in the walkway now.

Some people will, but most people won't. So, I think we should just keep it the safest possible. And, yes, there may accidents once in a while. Thank you.

HEARINGS OFFICER KRUEGER: Thank you for your testimony.

[audience applause]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HEARINGS OFFICER KRUEGER: Right now we have a lot of testifiers, so I ask that you hold your applause, please. The next testifier is Lawrence Milke and followed by Carol Ching.

MR. MIIKE: Good morning. My name is Lawrence I have been a regular use of of Ala Moana Regional park and Magic Island Park for nearly twenty years. I have had extensive experience as a hearings officer for the Department of Land and Natural Resources. The Department of Design and Construction will submit their findings and recommendations to City Council. First of all in the EIS offered by Design and Construction one has to be very objective. There are different departments they also work with the City. One is the SMAP application. There are two projects that are going to be new, the dog park and the playground. They already know that the playground is off the board, but not officially. The SMA application, the entire money discussed is \$144 million with no explanation

about where it comes from. The fourth big project right now is about \$5.9 million, that's the road and irrigation. It's funded by the City. There is no explanation all year around. The EIS, the final EIS changed the \$144 million.

How they arrived at \$144 million? Without that I don't see

On the dog park, people were concerned about dogs pooping in the park. And can't tell from the application. I have several recommendations. One, I don't know want to stop this SMA. On the dog park, take it off the board and can always come back for a minor permit. On the park, there is nothing to stop Design and Construction removing that application.

HEARINGS OFFICER KRUEGER: Thank you for your testimony. Our next--

[audience applause]

any assessment.

HEARINGS OFFICER KRUEGER: Please hold your applause. The next testifier is Carol Ching followed by Brad Frye.

MS. CHING: My name is Carol Ching. My objection is the dog park. The area that's designated the dog park to be is too small. Every designated area has no poop drop-offs. I had practically more experience with dogs then most people. Because I had two dogs. I was member of the Dog Friendly Club. I trained my dogs for obedience

competition. I'm sanctioned by the American Kennel Club, so I can say I have more experience and knowledge about dogs. Dogs like to play. They like playing areas. They like to chase and chase, wrestle with other dogs. This is part of their socialization. They love the owners throwing the ball far away, as far can be; frisbee. That's a very nice grove to be the dog park. The grass will be brown. They pee all over the grass and soon will turn black. The male dogs like to lift their legs up against the tree; the higher the better. It needs to be maintained. So when you wash down the urine from the top soil; roots of the trees, you're going to kill the trees. It may not be used a lot, but it's used to look as you're driving through. So what will happen if that becomes a drop off, people will drive their dog there, take them out, let the dog do their business and go to the other parks, beach, because there's no other place giving them the opportunity. So, if not a dog park, it becomes a relief station. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HEARINGS OFFICER KRUEGER: Thank you for your testimony. The next testifier is Brad Frye followed by Sharlene Chun-Lum. Please state your name for the record.

MR. FRYE: My name is Brad Frye. Thank you for the opportunity for me to speak. I'm opposed to a dog park, which is so small, there won't be a dog park, just a pee on the tree. And by the way, the Kaka'ako-Ala Moana

Neighborhood Board identified some other locations;

Kaka'ako. They identified several locations and never considered an EIS. So I wonder Mayor Caldwell why do you want to put the dog park in Ala Moana Park. Listen to the neighborhood board.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm opposed to the playground in the park and adding parking stalls. But I oppose the EIS parking plan because it needlessly, the stories about two football fields worth of grass and trees and replace the very ugly asphalt to concrete for the sidewalks. I say needlessly because the plan for new stalls of the EIS can easily be achieved by using more efficient parking in the existing map and proposed EIS plan Keyhole. If these lots adopt one-way lanes, it can add 20-25% for parking stalls, perpendicular stalls. The Kawalo Basin parking lot adjacent to the Ala Moana Park looks fine. The EIS 1,800 square foot, 18 feet or more feet wide. The mauka side of the parking, that's about one football field area. Imagine Magic Island parking lot will be 150 feet. That's a lot of grass and trees to lose. And the two football field, asphalt and concrete is going to use millions of taxpayer's dollars. It is not necessary. Let's not do that. Let's use efficient parking design so we can keep the park green. Mahalo.

HEARINGS OFFICER KRUEGER: Thank you for your testimony. The next registered speaker is Sharlene Chun-Lum

followed by Eugene Lee. And anyone who has just come in since we started, if you want to give verbal testimony, please fill out one of these pink sheets.

MS. CHUN-LUM: Good morning and thank you for this opportunity to testify. I have submitted written testimony and some of you those came before me also mentioned also several issues that I have concern about. Recently the FEIS and SMA are different. It's very hard for the public to come up with a good answer because as matter of fact, the SMA says if you want more information defer to FEIS, and it's not attached. So that means you have to go back and search for it and search through more than 2,000 pages of testimonies or information to come up with an answer. And, I think it's against the purpose of trying to get the public involved in this.

Secondly, there is no discussion, there's no association of each project with good cause. Not only that, there's no association of maintenance. Yet in the SMA they say the reason why it's taking so long is because the project has been too costly to maintain over the years. So one wonders if you put out these new projects, will it be worse off because now you have to maintain what exists, plus these new homes. So, I think that's a concern before you go forward.

And some other people mentioned the dog park. And

that specifically is the ROH Rules under Chapter 25, solid waste and liquid waste. And the dog park okay. Perhaps people pick up their dog waste. And there are studies talk about toxicity actually when the dog pees on the trees, they encourage insects to the trunk of the trees. Also when you bring the dogs to the park, you expose the grassy area which creates a problem, not only the maintenance people, but the pest people to keep it under. You're introducing more concerns and comments. Once they get there, especially if it's a small park and say it's too scary for my dog to take them out to the beach instead, there's no discussion of anything of solid waste or liquid waste. So that specifically is against the guidelines that you have.

The other thing that I like to point out is regarding the sand. There is question and it is now in SMA it doesn't name the area. That is outside of the Ala Wai which is identified by the Department of Health that the water is contaminated. It needs to be tested. So, we don't know what they'll be bringing to the beach without earlier testing. And putting a barge on the Magic Island side. We know that three types of hurricanes etc. and putting that barge. In terms of what could happen to the rest of the Magic Island. The other thing is I wonder why there wasn't Option 1. In the EIS say let's work mostly on the wet spot; let's replace the erosion area. That's the one we're

talking about \$2.5 million. That's the spot, not everywhere else. So, instead of saying that let's find good sand and put in place where it's needed, they're talking about taking away other uses rights; to do other things on the beach, just lying down on the sand.

The last thing is we're concerned that it will go forward if it's not petitioned now. I asked to have an amendment before we have this hearing, but I'm told that is not the time. Thank you very much.

HEARING OFFICER KRUEGER: Thank you very much for testimony. The next registered speaker is Eugene Lee, followed by Audrey Lee. Please state your name for the record.

MR. LEE: I'm Eugene Lee, and I frequent the area. For years Ala Moana has been a place for connection with and enjoying the ocean and an accessible location to enjoy the beach for my family and myself. People from all island and around the world have come to the park to enjoy the access to the beach and ocean as well as the ocean green spaces. This park is truly the people's park.

However, any plans for park improvement must prioritize the major purpose of the park, that is, access to the use of the beach and open green space. What makes Ala Moana Beach Park special to so many people its long tradition of providing easy access to the ocean and green

space so that it can be enjoyed in many ways.

I am concerned that the improvements are incomplete in addressing the environmental, public heath, social, cultural and economic impacts proposed in the master plan for Ala Moana Regional Park. As noted in the Chapter 25 SMA, state that the review of the SMA use permit application is examined for adverse environmental or ecological effect, as well as, consideration of the public health, safety and public interest.

Several issues that need to be further addressed for this SMA permit application. Dog park. Enforcement of the rules regarding dogs in public parks; public health for both human and dogs regarding beach access; adherence to the guidelines for dog parks determined by the Ala Moana Neighborhood board task force; and cost of maintenance and liability for having a dog park. Issues of dog health also need to be considered including their exposure to the above bacteria and parasites, but also serious conditions resulting from sand and saltwater consumption.

The playground. For social and cultural issues, current park users have expressed concerns to keep the park's character the same. There was no desire to upscale the park with modern design and added structures. The playgrounds does not fit the existing character of the park.

Parking changes to the Keyhole parking lot, mauka

side of Ala Moana Park Drive and Magic Island parking lot.

There is proposal to reconfigure parking on the mauka side of Ala Moana Park Drive by changing existing parallel parking stalls to perpendicular stalls.

Currently, most of the time parking capacity is below 90% which questions the need for additional parking spaces, but also environmentally impacts the parking through the loss of grass and trees. Additional safety concerns with perpendicular parking on the mauka side is the inconvenience compared to parallel parking. The impact of creating perpendicular stalls will involve removing the existing grassy areas and trees from the mauka side. Perpendicular parking requires twice as much space length-wide, requiring the permanent elimination of significant grassy areas and removal of trees, and disruption due to roadwork on Ala Moana Park Drive.

I recommend further study to determine further parking needs for a year to determine if this is sufficient for the overflow parking needed on weekends and at special events before incurring the additional expense of taxpayer dollars, disruption to the traffic in the park, and permanent loss of green space on the mauka lawn.

Sand replenishment and long-term beach nourishment. The materials in the SMA application and the FEIS are superficial and the appendices that address the

sand replenishment issues were well done but did not provide the public review and did not address the major public health, cultural, and economic impacts. Opposition to this proposed action is based on additional examination of the studies from sand/beach replenishment in near-by Waikiki.

The sand studies only examine the size and consistency of the sand, however, the site proposed in the FEIS did not conduct contaminant analysis of the sand for heavy metal-earth mineral analysis nor bacterial growth in an area which lies in the path of the discharge plume from the Ala Wai canal which could result in a public health situation for beach users and result in a unnecessary clean-up cost.

HEARINGS OFFICER KRUEGER: Thank you. The next registered testifier is Audrey Lee followed by Lynne Kobayashi.

MS. LEE: Good morning. My name is Audrey Lee and thank you for letting me the opportunity to comment on this.

On behalf of the Malama Moana, a large group of concerned residents and park users throughout the state, I would like to state our concerns.

First, the perpendicular parking slated for the mauka side of Ala Moana Park Drive has taking out of considerable amounts of open green space, trees, and curbing affecting the traffic on the two-way road, by taking out 2

feet and scaling perpendicular stalls to a length that will not accommodate larger trucks and SUVs thereby creating even more hazardous conditions for pedestrians and drivers as well as emergency vehicles coming through the park.

Another consideration is the sea level rise slated for the Ala Moana park area even in the this decade. The prediction for king tides reaching Ala Moana Shopping Center is around 2027. Perhaps we could abandon the perpendicular parking on Ala Moana Park Drive and consider Mr. Frye's Magic Island idea at a minimum cost of paint and signage or just add the 104 stalls now and see if need more on the Magic Island side of the park later on.

Secondly, we are opposed to building of a dog park in an area that is does not comply with identified criteria determined by the Ala Moana/Kaka'ako Neighborhood Board Task Force for dog parks. Dogs are not allowed in Ala Moana Park except while traversing the park on a leash. Yet, many dogs are in the park off leash daily playing and even swimming and nothing is enforced.

Health and safety are other factors to not create a dog park at Ala Moana. Feces and urine would attract flies and maggots which create a health hazard in the air. Beach goers have already found dog feces in the sand. When it rains heavily, runoff fro the flooded area designated for the dog park runs into the canals which flush out to the

swimmers's beach. Another health hazard, this time in the water, also has stated that dogs can get sick from people through the contaminated water at the beach as well.

Our third comment regarding the Master Plan is about the inclusive playground. The process by which the playground came to be in the Master Plan is as big as an issue as the playground itself. Much of this will be left out of this testimony since Pa'ani Kakou and the Mayor have now agreed to look at Kaka'ako as a possible site for the playground instead of keeping it at Ala Moana. We understand the playground will be taken out of the SMA application by the City Council and that an inclusive restroom facility will be built to house an adult sized changing table and restroom. This may be removed from an existing facility at Ala Moana. Malama Moana agrees that this is an appropriate accommodation for the park and that it is greatly needed for disabled park users who may not be able to use the regular restroom facilities.

Thank you for allowing us to share our concerns with you. We hope you will consider the points that the public is making about some of these plans and make the changes best suited for the park without spending too much for little or poor results. Thank you.

HEARINGS OFFICER KRUEGER: Thank you for your testimony. The next registered speaker Lynne Kobayashi

followed by Kevin O'Leary. Please state your name for the record.

MS. KOBAYASHI: My name is Lynne Kobayashi. I strongly oppose the City to change the parking configuration. I see people alone. I see children learning to ride bikes at the center of the park. To dawn to dust walkers, joggers and families with baby strollers. People one side, cars on the other.

Dog parks. Dog owners encourage users to walk their dogs along the park. Consider lack of enforcement of City's own park rules. We should encourage greater discussion among dog park use.

HEARINGS OFFICER KRUEGER: Thank you. The next registered speaker is Kevin O'Leary followed by Bruce Lum.

MR. O'LEARY: Ala Moana Beach Park is considered the people's park for simple reason that everyone uses it. It should stay green and clean for generations to come. Extending the parking lot out into the green space to Magic Island; bad idea.

Sand replenishment with possibly polluted sand; bad idea. The world class playground off the table, to be built in the Kaka'ako instead; good idea.

That's all I'm going to say. Some of you said at City Council meeting as far as input goes we have enough input. How did the playground get stopped? It's because

what (inaudible) Ako cited. It's his approach to the public is somewhat by his opinion that people who oppose playground were mean people. And then this idea was given up. He was extremely angry. In my opinion the input we talk about the park. We ask them to send in testimony. Did they do that?

No. The reason they didn't do that because they didn't think their voices are being heard. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HEARINGS OFFICER KRUEGER: Thank you. The next registered testifier is Bruce Lum followed by Malisa Otis. And for anyone who has just come in, if you like to testify verbal testimony please fill out the pink sheet.

MR. LUM: Thank you very much. You know, I don't know about you, but I have a lot of more involvement in this. Ever since I got involved in this project, I learned a lot of things. Thank you each and every one who persistently stiffening your back and saying, "we don't buy this anymore." The Mayor started this whole process telling us what we wanted, but Anne Kobayashi was the only person who (inaudible). My message to you all is you need to be persistent and say all improvements makai of Ala Moana Boulevard cannot be done, forget it. Put your foot down, insist on it. We still have a long ways to go. The Council has to get all this information and decide what they think they should do. They're representing their constituents. Just tell them in enforce 1846, nowhere makai of Ala Moana

Boulevard, whether it's sand, whether it's Magic Island or or playground. Forget it. Thank you.

HEARINGS OFFICER KRUEGER: Thank you. The next testifier is Malisa Otis followed by Douglas Valenta.

MS. OTIS: Hi. I'm Malisa Otis. I'm a strong supporter of save Sherwood Forest and also a strong supporter to save Ala Moana. And I haven't heard any testimony that people wanted this new phase. But as you can see the majority of people that are here and who frequent the park. I'm from Waimanalo which is my sunset sanctuary. I just went to Kaka'ako yesterday and they want to build a park there and suddenly there's so many homeless; where are they are going to go? You're pushing the homeless out. We don't need another park. The City Council should make a Bill. Thank you.

HEARINGS OFFICER KRUEGER: Thank you. The next speaker is Douglas Valenta followed by Bianca Isaki.

MR. VALENTA: Hi. Doug Valenta representing (inaudible). There are some who use the park for non-park uses. The City has been irresponsible in keeping up with the maintenance. While the park is an issue, there should be other options. The City wants to encourage the use of Uber, the bus system and other options and encourage people to come to the park. Thank you.

HEARINGS OFFICER KRUEGER: Thank you. The next

registered speaker is Bianca Isaki followed by Ricky Wonder.
Please state your name for the record.

MS. ISAKI: I'm Bianca Isaki. I'm from the Hawaiian-Environmental Alliance, 501(c)3, based in Honolulu.

Kahea is a community-based organization that advocates for the proper stewardship of our resources and for social responsibility by promoting cultural understanding and environmental justice. We mostly excited about adverse impacts to cultural and recreational resources. These include open space, compromised through redevelopment of the bowling law and keyhole into multi-use areas and parking lots. Cultural resources include the sand crabs and other invertebrates who are the food for take and nearshore fish and who will be destroyed by dumping 70,000 cubic yards of sand as part of the of an ill-advised sand replenishment project. Cultural resources include shell collecting, nearshore fish, and surf breaks, including access to surf breaks and the coral reefs that create those breaks.

All of these resources are adversely impacted by increasing park traffic. This nowhere discussed in the EIS or the SMA. There is no Ka Pa'akai analysis. Rather, they uncritically tout increasing visitor use without disclosing impacts on the park and nearshore areas.

Creating further visitor attractions will cause

significant degradation of public trust resources in the absence of projective measures. Rather, in regard to land use, the SMA application includes the inconsistent conclusion. The proposed projects would have no potential impact on the land use at the park. The project would allow improvements of the existing facilities and grounds which serve as a main recreational resource for countless residents and visitors. Installing further stalling further recreational amenities for countless residents and visitors will have a significant, detrimental impact on Park lands.

Proposals to increase parking spaces or bridge width do not mitigate impacts including crowded, dangerous surf breaks, surf schools of novice surfers in reef walkers stomping on fragile coral, overflowing rubbish bins, and sunscreen oil spilling across the ocean surface. This is something we already see increasing at Ala Moana.

Environmental review for the beach replenishment project at Kahana Bay, Maui specifically emphasized the need to review impacts of increased beach use and associated parking and traffic on the actual resources.

The statement that the park's underlying layer of coral fill material, used to fill the shall mud flats, 'enables stormwater to percolate into the ground does not square with regular observation of flooding in that area during and after medium to heavy rains.

Please deny the City's application. Thank you.

HEARINGS OFFICER KRUEGER: Thank you. The next registered testifier is Ricky Wonder followed by Sam King.

And Sam King is the last registered testifier. And if anyone else who haven't had a chance to speak and would like to, please fill out a pink form. Ricky Wonder one more chance. [no response] Not here? [no response] Okay.

Then our final registered testifier is Sam King. Is Sam King here? Again, this is the last opportunity. After the final registered testifier—excuse me, so if you'd like to testify please fill out a pink sheet.

MR. KING: Aloha. I'm Sam King. I'm here to testify as the sole representative of the young parents that actually want the playground at the Ala Moana Beach Park. I'm grateful that the community is passionate about it and hopefully something can be worked out. I know the playground is moving to Kaka'ako and hope it gets built there. It'll be great for me and my kids.

Earlier I was at Ala Moana Beach Park with my son, at the beach. It would be great to go in the water and come out and go to this awesome playground. It sounds wonderful to me. I see a lot of supporters here. It's 10:30 a.m. on a Wednesday and you don't see a lot of parents with kids showing up at public hearings. I'm here on my early lunch break. I did talk to some of the parents and they're not

going to come and talk and testify. That's why the City

Council in the end is sort of against the playground project

because their votes don't count, the parents with children.

Parents with kids we don't have time to stay up all night

long reading things about this.

It's crazy that parents are not going to get the chance to play and explain Ala Moana Beach Park, the future generation. They worry about global warming destroying the park. You get a playground for 50 years out of it, paid for by rich people on Park Lane. That's not going to bother me at all. So, I don't see a disadvantage there; I see it as a wonderful thing. So, it's sad the playground is moving out there. I understand that's what we got to do to compromise. I think the project would've been great.

HEARINGS OFFICER KRUEGER: Thank you for your testimony. The next registered testifier is Irene Kloepfer followed by Winston Welch.

MS. KLOEPFER: Aloha. I'm Irene Kloepfer. I agree earlier what was said about the dog park. The dogs are very viable. For our health that's the main priority. We need all the green spaces to improve our health. We have to think how we're going to move forward to our future. We're in a climate crisis. A lot of people are denying it.

I heard testimony about the high tides coming up to the shopping center. What happens five years down the

road. We need a lot more green spaces. We need to put in our fruit trees and trees for shade from the sun. We need start thinking more drastically about our future. We are in crisis, and we're acting like money will take care of it; it's not. We need to start thinking something different. Thank you.

HEARINGS OFFICER KRUEGER: Thank you for your testimony. Okay. Next registered testifier is Winston Welch followed by Denise Boisvert, and then Denise is the last testifier.

MR. WELCH: Aloha. My name is Winston Welch, and I'm the executive director for The Outdoor Circle, which you are probably aware of was the original main contributor to this park. Some things have change over the years, I think it's important to realize that the City Council in 1998 came specifically talked about do not change, no permanent structures and keep the ground open space as a park, unless it involves some ethnic groups or activities no matter what it is.

Some testifiers mentioned about the SMA permit due to related factors and not release insufficient EIS.

Since this is not specifically one of the major elements asked for in the SMA but do understand the SMA is submitted in its entirety and not allowed to be separated. The controversial elements, which is the main concern of

playground, perpendicular parking which has a number of issues and the dog park. The Council's Resolution 19188 that is not inappropriate for that.

There's a number of issues that hasn't been addressed. So later when the City wants to pursue this after the EIS.

I also agree with the previous testifier that it's difficult for people to come at 10:30 a.m. I'm not sure if there would be more people for or against this. Thank you.

HEARINGS OFFICER KRUEGER: Thank you for your testimony. The final registered testifier is Denise Boisvert. So again once Denise is done, then the public testimony portion of the public hearing is closed.

MS. BOISVERT: Hello. My name is Denise Boisvert. I just want to say that I am tired of the word under utilized. It seems like the excuse to even tear down a two or three story building to put up a tower or paint over this beautiful natural grassy areas called Ala Moana Park. So this has to stop. I think too much time and energy have been put into this irresponsible and hated proposal. That's all I have to say.

HEARINGS OFFICER KRUEGER: Thank you for your testimony. So that was the last registered testifier. Does anyone wish to testify? [no response] Also, let me do one more call for Ricky Wonder. [no response] No Ricky Wonder.

Okay. Then the public testimony portion of this public hearing is closed.

Alex, do you have any questions for the applicant?

STAFF PLANNER BEATTY: I do. Would the applicant
or agent come up. I just wanted to confirm that the SMA
application which includes a playground is still being
desired, although this particular playground is no longer
being discussed in the master plan, a playground is still
part of the master plan?

MS. HIRAMATSU: Yes, it is.

STAFF PLANNER BEATTY: We received comments from the Historic Hawaii Foundation that there were concerns over historic resources within the Park. The administration intent to comply with the relevant state regulations regarding the rehabilitation of historic structures?

MS. HIRAMATSU: Yes.

STAFF PLANNER BEATTY: Please confirm these projects will comply with Chapter 21A related to developments in the flood hazard areas?

MS. HIRAMATSU: Yes.

STAFF PLANNER BEATTY: Can I ask a question about sand contamination?

MS. HIRAMATSU: Let me bring up the sea engineer who did the studies.

HEARINGS OFFICER KRUEGER: Please state your name

for the record?

MR. SMITH: My name is David Smith. I'm the coastal engineer with Sea Engineering. The first sand source of the beach refer to coastal design is the offshore of Ala Moana. The sand source is approximately 2,000 feet offshore, some 4,000 or so feet offshore of the beach in waters approximately 75-110 feet. A number of questions today or voiced concerns about possible contamination of the sand. We have also received comments also from other permitting agencies regarding blue heron bats, and we're in discussion.

HEARINGS OFFICER KRUEGER: I'm sorry, in regards to what?

MR. SMITH: Sampling of the offshore sand source.

STAFF PLANNER BEATTY: If the sand is found to be contaminated, will it be used on the beach?

MR. SMITH: That's a great question. And the reason why I hesitated is because there could be a number of samples with certain levels for decisions to be made. My job is going to be to recover that sand, have it tested and submit it for City action.

STAFF PLANNER BEATTY: Are there other locations in the EIS identified?

MR. SMITH: We also looked at other alternatives.

STAFF PLANNER BEATTY: One more question for you. I

just wanted to confirm the parking, variety of parking projects that might possibly have bicycle parking? HIRAMATSU: Yes. MS. STAFF PLANNER BEATTY: That's all. HEARINGS OFFICER KRUEGER: All right. Would you like to address any comments or concerns that you heard from testifiers today? MS. HIRAMATSU: Not really. I think they need to understand though that a lot of the projects won't be--I mean all of these projects will not be done at the same time; different phases. HEARINGS OFFICER KRUEGER: All right then with no further questions this public hearing is closed. Thank you very much everybody for coming today. We appreciate it.

_

1	I certify that the foregoing is a
2	true and correct transcription of
3	the proceedings, prepared to the
4	best of my ability of the meeting
5	held on Wednesday, December 18, 2019.
6 7	Dan
8	Gloria Takara
9	Secretary-Hearings Reporter
10	
11	January 10, 2020
12	Date
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	